Introduction to GraphNN

Yongbin Feng (Fermilab)

AI Lab-Wide Meetings

November 18th, 2022

Disclaimer

• I'm NOT a GraphNN expert, so I hope the messages I delivered are mostly correct, but it could be

• Most of them maybe sound straightforward; but in practice, training these neural networks could

- wrong/misunderstanding…
- be much more tricky; need some experiences/tunings/magic…

Disclaimer

• I'm NOT a GraphNN expert, so I hope the messages I delivered are mostly correct, but it could be

• Most of them maybe sound straightforward; but in practice, training these neural networks could

- wrong/misunderstanding…
- be much more tricky; need some experiences/tunings/magic…

Layer

Multi Layer Perceptron

- "Artificial" neuron / "Perceptron"
- Inputs: x (flatten), outputs: y,
- Outputs $y = \sigma(W \times x + b)$
- W and b are the trainable weights and biases
- σ is the activation function, to bring non-linearity to the NN

Multi Layer Perceptron

- - [↓] ReLU for regression problems
	- problems

Multi Layer Perceptron

- Relatively easy to train and deploy;
- but everything has to be "flat" -> "Geometric"/localized information are lost

• E.g: To identity a specific kind of galaxy:

- E.g: To identity a specific kind of galaxy:
	- ✤ Need "local" information: Conv (with Kernel)

- E.g: To identity a specific kind of galaxy:
	- ✤ Need "local" information: Conv (with Kernel)

- E.g: To identity a specific kind of galaxy:
	- ✤ Need "local" information: Conv (with Kernel)
	- Need to "combine" all local information together: Pooling: Max, Mean, Sum, etc

- Convolutional Neural Network
	- ✤ Need "local" information: Conv (with Kernel)
	- Need to "combine" all local information together: Pooling: Max, Mean, Sum, etc

• Convolutional Neural Network

- Convolutional Neural Network
	-
	- ✤ But not all data are image-like;

- Convolutional Neural Network
	-
	- ✤ But not all data are image-like: social relationship

- Convolutional Neural Network
	-
	- ✤ But not all data are image-like: social relationship

- Convolutional Neural Network
	-
	- ✤ But not all data are image-like: social relationship

- Convolutional Neural Network
	-
	- ✤ But not all data are image-like: social network; particles in jets, etc
	- And the resolutions, etc can be different in different phase-space regions

CNN -> GNN

• Convolutional Neural Networks work on Euclidean space and can aggregate information from the "real"

• Moving to Non-Euclidean space; do the similar type of "convolutions" to extract and aggregate information

- neighbors adjacent to each target.
- from neighboring particles -> Graph Neural Network (More general and more powerful)

Graph Neural Networks

- One Graph (G) has nodes (V) and edges (E) : $G = (V,E)$
- A set of nodes $\{h_i\}$ and their connections (edges): $\{e_{ij}\}$
- Collect information among the nodes and edges

Message Passing Neural Network

• "message passing": for target node i, "message" passed from neighboring nodes to the target node is:

• Node feature update for the target node is:

• M and U are message functions and node update functions,

$$
m_i^{(k)} = \sum_j M(h_i^{(k)}, h_j^{(k)}, e_{ij})
$$

$$
h_i^{(k+1)} = U(h_i^{(k)}, m_i^{(k)})
$$

Message Passing Neural Network

• "message passing": for target node i, "message" passed from neighboring nodes to the target node is:

• Node feature update for the target node is:

$$
m_i^{(k)} = \sum_j M(h_i^{(k)}, h_j^{(k)}, e_{ij})
$$

$$
h_i^{(k+1)} = U(h_i^{(k)}, m_i^{(k)})
$$

• Finally: with $\{h_i^{(p)}\}$ and $\{e_{ij}^{(P)}\}$, one can do:

 $\bullet \bullet$ Node classification: with $f(h_i^{(P)})$

 \bullet Edge classification: with $f(e_{ij}^P)$ or $f(h_i^{(P)}, h_j^{(P)})$

❖ Graph prediction: with pooling of a graph

Message Passing Neural Network

• "message passing": for target node i, "message" passed from neighboring nodes to the target node is:

• Node feature update for the target node is:

can incorporate different kinds of symmetries and assumptions when designing these functions -> very general and powerful

• Can aggregate information from both target node, neighboring node,

$$
m_i^{(k)} = \sum_j M(h_i^{(k)}, h_j^{(k)}, e_{ij})
$$

$$
h_i^{(k+1)} = U(h_i^{(k)}, m_i^{(k)})
$$

• Node feature update: $h_i^{(k+1)}$ *i* $= \sigma(h_i^{(k)}W + m_i^{(k)})$

• Message:
$$
m_i^{(k)} = \sum_j h_j^{(k)}V
$$

• Here \sum is the pooling operation, can be max, mean, sum, etc; ∑ *j*

$$
V + m_i^{(k)} = \sigma(h_i^{(k)}W + \sum_j h_j^{(k)}V)
$$

Example: GraphSage

• After the node feature update: $h_i^{(k+1)}$ *i* $= \sigma(h_i^{(k)})$

- Rebuild the graph in the new $\{h_i^{(k+1)}\}$ latent space, with e.g., k-nearest neighbors
- The graph is dynamic now the edges can change after one layer

$$
f_i^{(k)}W + m_i^{(k)} = \sigma(h_i^{(k)}W + \sum_j h_j^{(k)}V)
$$

Example: Dynamic Graph CNN

- Graphsage treats all the edges the same; different edges can have different weights when aggregating information
- I.e. the message becomes:

where $a_{ii}^{(k)}$ is "attention" and calculated as: *ij*

 Q, K, V are often referred to as Query, Key, and Value

$$
m_i^{(k)} = \sum_j h_j^{(k)} V a_{ij}^{(k)}
$$

$$
a_{ij}^{(k)} = \text{softmax}(Q^{(k)}h_i^{(k)} \cdot K^{(k)}h_j^{(k)})
$$

Example: Graph Attention Network

- In partice, one "attention" usually focus on one or a few edges/features
- Need more "attentions" -> multi-head attention
- I.e. the message becomes:

where $a_{ii}^{(k,l)}$ is l-th "attention" in the k-th layer: *ij*

$$
m_i^{(k)} = \text{Concat}(\sum_j h_j^{(k)} V^{(l)} a_{ij}^{(k,l)})
$$

$$
a_{ij}^{(k,l)} = \text{softmax}(Q^{(k,l)}h_i^{(k)} \cdot K^{(k,l)}h_j^{(k)})
$$

Example: Graph Attention Network

Graph Attention Network -> Transformer

sentence

• Transformer are fully-connected word graph, with multihead attention, layer-norms, and feed-forward MLP

Goods and Bads

- Goods and bads come at the same time. E.g.:
- Lower and lower level of information, with more advanced architectures, can bring huge boosts to performance increases
- Industry, and open-source community, have provided us lots of tools to play with these, easy to get hands on these
- How much we trust such low-level information, is questionable; calibrations and evaluations of systematic uncertainties can be very hard;
- computing-wise can also take lots of resources

Back Up